arXiv:1412.6893v3 [astro-ph.HE] 9 Oct 2015

The Particle Production at the Event Horizon of a Black Hole as Gravitational Fowler-Nordheim Emission in Uniformly Accelerated Frame, in The Non-Relativistic Scenario

Sanchari De[a] • **Sutapa Ghosh**[b] • **Somenath Chakrabarty**[a†]

Abstract In the conventional scenario, the Hawking radiation is believed to be a tunneling process at the event horizon of the black hole. In the quantum field theoretic approach the Schwinger's mechanism is generally used to give an explanation of this tunneling process. It is the decay of quantum vacuum into particle anti-particle pairs near the black hole surface. However, in a reference frame undergoing a uniform accelerated motion in an otherwise flat Minkowski space-time geometry, in the non-relativistic approximation, the particle production near the event horizon of a black hole may be treated as a kind of Fowler-Nordheim field emission, which is the typical electron emission process from a metal surface under the action of an external electrostatic field. This type of emission from metal surface is allowed even at extremely low temperature. It has been noticed that in one-dimensional scenario, the Schrödinger equation satisfied by the created particle (anti-particle) near the event horizon, can be reduced to a differential form which is exactly identical with that obeyed by an electron immediately after the emission from the metal surface under the action of a strong electrostatic field. The mechanism of particle production near the event horizon of a black hole is therefore identified with Schwinger process in relativistic quantum field theory, whereas in the non-relativistic scenario it may be interpreted as Fowler-Nordheim emission process, when observed from a uniformly accelerated frame.

Sanchari De[a]

Sutapa Ghosh[b]

Somenath Chakrabarty[a†]

[a]Department of Physics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan-731235, India

[b]Department of Physics, Barasat Govt. College, Barasat 700124, India

[†]E-mail: somenath.chakrabarty@visva-bharati.ac.in

1 Introduction

During the last few decades a lot of work have been reported on the identical nature of Schwinger mechanism of pair production in presence of strong electric field Schwinger (1951) (see also Crispino et al (2007); Kim (2007) and references therein) and the Hawking radiation Hawking (1974, 1975) (see also Birrell and Davies (1982)) at the event horizon of a black hole. The strong electric field which separates two oppositely charged particles beyond their Compton wavelength in the Schwinger process is replaced by the event horizon in the case of Hawking radiation. Further, the Hawking radiation was also explained as an outcome of the so called Unruh effect in the relativistic picture (see Birrell and Davies (1982)). The argument of Unruh for such emission process is that an observer in an accelerated frame will see radiation in the vacuum of inertial observer (known as Unruh effect) Unruh (1976a,b). Whereas from inertial frame, there will be no radiation in the vacuum states. Which therefore indicates that the vacuum is a relative concept. The Unruh effect predicts that an accelerating observer will see black-body radiation in a true vacuum of an inertial observer. The temperature of the inertial vacuum as measured by the accelerated observer increases with the magnitude of acceleration and is given by $T = T_U = \hbar\alpha/(2\pi ck)$, known as the Unruh temperature. In other words, the background appears to be warm from an accelerating reference frame. The ground state for an inertial observer is seen as in thermodynamic equilibrium with a non-zero temperature by the uniformly accelerated observer. In presence of strong black hole gravitational field near the event horizon, which is equivalent to an accelerated frame without gravity, the temperature of the vacuum will be large enough to create particle and anti-particle pairs if $kT_U > 2m_0c^2$, with m_0 the rest mass of the particle (anti-particle). However, all such explanations are

associated with the relativistic quantum field theoretic approach of particle production.

In the present article we would like to show that when observed from a uniformly accelerated frame, then in the non-relativistic approximation, where the concept of quantum vacuum in the sense of particle-antiparticle creation and annihilation does not exist, the particle production process near the event horizon of the black hole is more or less like Fowler-Nordheim field emission Fowler and Nordheim (1928) (see also Ghosh and Chakrabarty (2012)). In the non-relativistic picture, neither the Schwinger's mechanism nor the Unruh effect are applicable for the particle production process.

The main objective of this work is to draw some analogy with the electron emission process under the action of a strong electric field applied near the surface of a metal, with that of the creation of particles by strong gravitational field at the vicinity of event horizon of a black hole. Since the Fowler-Nordheim equation for cold field emission of electrons from the metal surface is non-relativistic one, we have made a non-relativistic approximation of particle creation picture near the event horizon.

For the sake of completeness, we would like to present briefly the mechanism of cold field emission of electrons. It is well known that there are mainly three kinds of electron emission processes from metal surface. These are (a) the thermal emission, (b) the photo emission and (c) the cold emission or field emission. Among these processes, the thermal emission can be explained classically. The only quantum concept needed is the introduction of electron chemical potential inside the metal. To explain photo-emission, the concept of old quantum mechanics or quantum theory is sufficient. The cold emission or field emission of electrons are the processes driven by a strong external electric field applied at the metal surface. This kind of emission can occur even if the metal is at extremely low temperature, i.e., the electron gas is strongly degenerate. This is the basic reason to call the field emission process also as cold emission. Unlike the thermal emission or photo-emission, the field emission can only be explained as the quantum mechanical tunneling of electrons through surface barrier Fowler and Nordheim (1928); Ghosh and Chakrabarty (2012). It has no analogy with any classical process. However, for the general type of surface driving potential, this purely quantum mechanical problem can not be solved exactly. A semi-classical approach, called WKB method is used to get tunneling coefficient for general type surface barrier potential. Now to explain physically the mechanism of cold emission of electrons from the metal surface, one may assume that because of quantum fluctuation, electrons from the sea of degenerate electron gas within the metal always try to tunnel out through the metallic surface. The electrons which are just out side the metal surface because of fluctuation are like visible dolphins on the surface of a lake. Now as an electron comes out, it induces an image charge on the metal surface, which pulls it back and does not allow the tunneled electrons to move far away from the metal surface in the atomic scale. However, if some strong attractive electrostatic field is applied near the metallic surface, then depending on the magnitude of Fermi energy and the height of surface potential barrier, which is approximately equal to the work function of the metal, the electrons may overcome the effect of induced image charge on the metal surface and get liberated. The field emission process was first theoretically explained by Fowler and Nordheim in Fowler and Nordheim (1928) in their Royal Society paper.

Now to compare the particle production process near the event horizon in the non-relativistic scenario, with that of Fowler-Nordheim field emission, we consider the motion of a particle in a local rest frame in presence of an uniform gravitational field. Which is equivalent to the uniformly accelerated motion of the frame of reference in absence of gravity. We assume that the strong gravitational field produced by the black hole is almost uniform in local rest frame.

In this article our intention is to show that in the non-relativistic approximation, the creation of particles (anti-particles) near the event horizon of the black hole is almost identical with the Fowler-Nordheim field emission when observed from a uniformly accelerated reference frame. To the best of our knowledge such study has not been reported earlier.

We have organized the article in the following manner. In the next section we have developed a formalism to obtained the Schrödinger equation of a particle (anti-particle) in presence of uniform gravitational field. An outline to obtain a solution of this equation has been discussed in Appendix A. Whereas, a derivation to obtain single particle Finally we have given conclusion of our findings and discussed the future perspective of this work.

2 Schrödinger Equation of a Particle Undergoing Uniform Accelerated Motion

Our study is based on the principle of equivalence, according to which a frame of reference undergoing an accelerated motion in absence of gravitational field is equivalent to a frame at rest in presence of a gravitational field. To develop the quantum mechanical

formalism for a particle undergoing a uniform accelerated motion, we start with the single particle classical Lagrangian in Rindler coordinates, which can be derived from the work in, e.g., Socolovsky (2013); Torres and Perez (2006); Huang and Sun (2007) (see also Appendix B of this article for a derivation of the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian in Rindler space).

$$L = -m_0c^2\left[\left(1+\frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)^2 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right]^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

where α is the constant acceleration in terms of which the proper acceleration of the Rindler frame is given by $g = \alpha/(1+\alpha x/c^2)$, and is assumed to be along x-direction, $v = u_x$, the particle velocity and m_0 is the rest mass of the particle. The three momentum vector of the particle can then be written as

$$\vec{p} = \frac{m_0\vec{v}}{\left[\left(1+\frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)^2 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right]^{1/2}} \tag{2}$$

Hence the Hamiltonian of the particle is given by

$$H = m_0c^2\left(1+\frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)\left(1+\frac{p^2}{m_0^2c^2}\right)^{1/2} \tag{3}$$

In the non-relativistic approximation with $m_0c^2 \gg pc$, the above Hamiltonian reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} H &\approx m_0c^2\left(1+\frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)\left(1+\frac{p^2}{2m_0^2c^2}\right) \\ &= \left(1+\frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)\left(m_0c^2+\frac{p^2}{2m_0}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Now in the quantum mechanical picture, the classical dynamical variables x, $\vec{p}$ and H are treated as operators, with the commutation relations

$$[x, p_x] = i\hbar \quad \text{and} \quad [x, p_y] = [x, p_z] = 0 \tag{5}$$

The Schrödinger equation for the particle is then given by

$$H\psi = \left(1+\frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)\left(m_0c^2+\frac{p^2}{2m_0}\right)\psi = E\psi \tag{6}$$

Using the representation

$$p^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)$$

we have after a little algebraic manipulation

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)\psi(x,y,z) &+ \frac{\alpha E x}{c^2}\psi \\ &= E_k\psi \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the kinetic energy of the particle $E_k = E - m_0c^2$. It is quite obvious that in the separable form, the solution of the above equation may be written as Fowler and Nordheim (1928)

$$\psi(x,y,z) = NX(x)\exp\left(-\frac{ip_y y}{\hbar}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{ip_z z}{\hbar}\right) \tag{8}$$

Substituting back in eqn.(7), we have

$$\frac{d^2X}{dx^2} - \frac{2m_0E\alpha}{\hbar^2c^2}xX(x) = -\frac{2m_0}{\hbar^2}\left(E_k - \frac{p_\perp^2}{2m_0}\right)X(x) \tag{9}$$

where

$$\frac{p_\perp^2}{2m_0} = \frac{p_y^2+p_z^2}{2m_0}$$

is the orthogonal part of kinetic energy. Hence the parallel part of kinetic energy is given by

$$E_{||} = E_k - \frac{p_\perp^2}{2m_0}$$

Let us put

$$\zeta = \left(\frac{2m_0E\alpha}{\hbar^2c^2}\right)^{1/3}x$$

a new dimensionless variable and

$$E' = \frac{2m_0E_{||}}{\hbar^2}\left(\frac{\hbar^2c^2}{2m_0E\alpha}\right)^{2/3}$$

as another dimensionless quantity. Then it can very easily be shown that with $\xi = E' - \zeta$, the above differential equation (eqn.(9)) reduces to

$$\frac{d^2X}{d\xi^2} + \xi X = 0 \tag{10}$$

This equation is of the same form as was obtained by Fowler and Nordheim in their original work on field emission of electrons (see the equation before eqn.(7) in Fowler and Nordheim (1928)). The identical mathematical structure of the differential equations results from the same kind of constant driving fields in both cases. In the case of Fowler-Nordheim emission, it is the constant attractive electrostatic field derived from the potential of the form $C - Ex$, where C is the surface barrier, which is approximated with the work function of the metal and E is the uniform electrostatic field near the metal surface. The quantity $C - Ex$ acts as the driving potential for cold emission. Whereas in the case of black hole emission the driving force is the uniform gravitational field near the event horizon of the black hole.

In Appendix A we outline how to obtain the solution of the differential equation given by eqn.(10). With this solution, we have

$$\psi(x,y,z) = N\exp\left(-i\frac{p_y y}{\hbar}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{ip_z z}{\hbar}\right) (E'-\zeta)^{1/2}H^{(2)}_{1/3}\left[\frac{2}{3}(E'-\zeta)^{3/2}\right] \quad (11)$$

where N is the normalization constant. Since we expect oscillatory solution also along x-direction in the asymptotic region, we have replaced $J_{1/3}(x)$ by $H^{(2)}_{1/3}(x)$, the Hankel function of second kind. Now, from the previous definitions

$$\xi = E'-\zeta = \frac{2m_0E_{||}}{\hbar^2}\left(\frac{\hbar^2c^2}{2m_0E\alpha}\right)^{2/3} - \left(\frac{2m_0E\alpha}{\hbar^2/c^2}\right)^{1/3}x,$$

if it is assumed that for some local rest frame at a distance x_l from the centre of the black hole, in the asymptotic region, i.e., $x_l \gg$ the Schwarzschild radius, the gravitational field $\alpha = GM/x_l^2$, the quantity ξ as defined above can be expressed in terms of x_l in the following manner.

$$\xi \sim ax_l^{4/3} - bx_l^{1/3}$$

where a and b are real positive constants. The argument of the Hankel function, which in the present physical scenario is the appropriate solution for the differential equation, given by eqn.(10), is large enough and positive in this asymptotic region. The Hankel function can therefore be expressed as an oscillatory function Abramowitz and Stegan (1970) in this uniformly accelerated frame. This is to be noted that here we are not talking about the variation of α. It is a constant for a particular frame of reference, called local frame, having spatial coordinate x_l, or equivalently for a frame at rest in presence of an uniform gravitational field α, known as local acceleration. To make this point more transparent, we have considered a large number of uniformly accelerated frame of references in the space out side a black hole, situated at a close proximity of event horizon to asymptotically far away from the event horizon. Each of these frames are designated by the spatial coordinate x_l in one dimension, measured from the centre of the black hole. Here to keep one to one correspondence with Fowler-Nordheim field emission, we have assumed one dimensional configuration.

On the other hand if it is assumed that the uniform acceleration for a local frame at x_l, close to the event horizon, is blue shifted, or in other words the gravitational field is assumed to be blue shifted for a local frame at rest at x_l near the event horizon, one can write

$$\alpha = \frac{GM}{x_l^2}\left[1-\frac{R_s}{x_l}\right]^{-1/2}$$

which gives the diverging value for α at the Schwarzschild radius, i.e. for $x_l = R_s = 2GM/c^2$. Or in other words, if the uniformly accelerated frame is considered exactly at the event horizon. It is quite obvious that the value of ξ is negative near the event horizon and remain negative up to certain value of x for the local rest frames for which α's are quite large. To accommodate the negative values for ξ for a set of local rest frames, we make the following changes in the wave function in the negative ξ region. We replace ξ by $-\xi$, and then the modified form of Hankel function is given by Abramowitz and Stegan (1970)

$$H^{(2)}_{1/3}\left(\exp\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi i\right)Q\right)$$

which may be expressed in terms of the modified Bessel function of first kind and is given by

$$-\frac{1}{\sin(\pi/3)}\left[I_{-1/3}(Q)+\exp(i\pi/3)I_{1/3}(Q)\right]$$

where $Q = 2\xi^{3/2}/3$.

Now we define the particle density in the following manner in a particular local rest frame in presence of gravitational field α.

$$n = \text{constant} \mid \psi \mid^2$$

The number density will be large enough for the local rest frames near the event horizon where ξ's are negative. This also follows from the expression for modified Bessel function of first kind for large Q as shown below

$$I_\nu(Q) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi Q)^{1/2}}\exp(Q)$$

The physical reason for large particle number density near the event horizon is due to the strong gravitational field, which produces more particles compared to far regions. This is also true in the case of Fowler-Nordheim field emission. More strong the electrostatic field more will be the electron emission rate. Now it can very easily be shown that in this region the number density is given by

$$n \sim \xi^{1/2}\exp(2Q)$$

Of course the model is not valid exactly at the event horizon.

When ξ becomes positive, which is true for a frame quite far away from the event horizon, the wave function is given by the Hankel function.

At $\xi = 0$, although the Hankel function diverges, the wave function vanishes in this particular frame of reference because of $\xi^{1/2}$ term. Same is true for the solution for $\xi < 0$, which matches exactly with $\xi > 0$ solution at $\xi = 0$. Further the Hankel function asymptotically becomes oscillatory (exponential with imaginary argument) in nature. The wave function for $\xi \longrightarrow \infty$ is given by

$$\psi(\xi) \sim \xi^{-1/4} \exp\left[-i\left(\xi - \frac{5\pi}{12}\right)\right]$$

Then the particle density in some local rest frame at x_l, which is far away from the event horizon, in presence of an uniform weak gravitational field is given by

$$n(\xi \longrightarrow \infty) \sim (a x_l^{4/3} - b x_l^{1/3})^{-1/2}$$

The value of $\xi = 0$ gives $x_l = (E_{||}/E)(c^2/\alpha)$, the spatial coordinate of a local rest frame where the particle density is exactly zero. If it is further assumed that $E_{||} = E$, then $x_l = c^2/\alpha$. Therefore the coordinate point where ξ switches over from negative value to positive value, depends on the acceleration of the local frame. Therefore we may divide the whole space out side the black hole into effectively six regions: for the set of local rest frames in presence of uniform gravitational field, but far from the event horizon, the wave functions are oscillatory. For $\xi > 0$ but not large enough, the wave functions can be expressed in those frames in terms of Hankel function of second kind. At $\xi = 0$, the nature of the wave functions from both $\xi \longrightarrow 0+$ and $\xi \longrightarrow 0-$ show that it should vanish. For $\xi < 0$, but the magnitude is not large enough, the wave functions can be expressed in terms of modified Bessel function of first kind. Very close to the event horizon, where ξ is also less than zero but with very high in magnitude, the number density shows exponential growth and asymptotically diverges. Finally nothing can be said at and inside the event horizon.

3 Conclusion

In this work we have drawn some analogy of particle production near the event horizon of a black hole with that of field emission or cold emission of electrons from the metal surface in the non-relativistic scenario in a frame undergoing uniform accelerated motion in an otherwise flat space-time geometry. In the case of cold emission, the driving force is the strong external electrostatic field applied near the metal surface. The strong electrostatic field helps the electrons to tunnel out through the surface barrier. These electrons are liberated to the real world from the conduction band of the metal. Further in the case of cold emission, only electrons are liberated. Whereas for the black hole particle production, it is the strong gravitational field of the black hole near the event horizon the driving force, creating pairs. One particle of the pair goes inside the black hole and the other one is emitted. Further, in the case of black hole emission the pairs come out from the quantum vacuum, where they are in the form of condensates, whereas electrons in the conduction band are the constituents of degenerate Fermi gas. Therefore in the non-relativistic approximation of black hole particle production, the tunneling coefficient can not be obtained following the formalism developed by Fowler and Nordheim Fowler and Nordheim (1928).

It is strongly believed that in the quantum field theoretic approach in curved space-time, the creation of particles at the event horizon is basically Schwinger type quantum tunneling process. In this article we have shown that in the non-relativistic approximation, it is also a tunneling process, but may be identified as gravitational Fowler-Nordheim emission. Therefore in the non-relativistic scenario for black hole pair creation, the formalism has to be developed considering particle (anti-particle) which has already been tunneled out near the event horizon, i.e., outside the event horizon. Whereas in the case of field emission, in the original work of Fowler and Nordheim, the electrons are assumed to be free particles inside the metal (free Fermi gas).

4 Appendix A

Consider the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2X}{d\xi^2} + \xi X = 0 \tag{12}$$

To get a solution, let us substitute $X(\xi) = \xi^n \psi(\xi)$, where n is an unknown quantity. Then the above differential equation reduces to

$$\xi^2 \frac{d^2\psi}{d\xi^2} + 2n\xi \frac{d\psi}{d\xi} + [n(n-1) + \xi^3]\psi = 0 \tag{13}$$

Let $\xi = \beta z^{2/3}$, where β is another unknown quantity. Then we have the reduced form of the above equation as

$$z^2 \frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + \left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right)\frac{4}{3} z \frac{d\psi}{dz} + \frac{4}{9}[n(n-1) + \beta^3 z^2]\psi(z) = 0 \tag{14}$$

Let us choose $n = 1/2$, then we have

$$z^2\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + z\frac{d\psi}{dz} + \left[\frac{4}{9}\beta^3 z^2 - \frac{1}{9}\right]\psi(z) = 0 \tag{15}$$

Finally choosing $\beta = (9/4)^{1/3}$, we get

$$z^2\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + z\frac{d\psi}{dz} + \left(z^2 - \frac{1}{9}\right)\psi(z) = 0 \tag{16}$$

Comparing this differential equation with the standard form of Bessel equation

$$z^2\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + z\frac{d\psi}{dz} + \left(z^2 - \nu^2\right)\psi(z) = 0 \tag{17}$$

whose solution is $J_\nu(z)$, Bessel function of order ν (Bessel function with negative order has no relevance) or $H_\nu^{(2)}(z)$, the second kind Hankel function of order ν. Then depending on the physical situation, we have the appropriate solution of eqn.(17) as

$$\psi(z) = J_{1/3}(z) \quad \text{or} \quad \psi(z) = H_{1/3}^{(2)}(z) \tag{18}$$

5 Appendix B

In this Appendix using some of the established useful formulas of special relativity with uniform accelerated motion (see Socolovsky (2013); Torres and Perez (2006); Huang and Sun (2007)) we shall obtain the single particle Lagrangian and Hamiltonian in Rindler space. Using the results from Socolovsky (2013); Torres and Perez (2006); Huang and Sun (2007)) the Rindler coordinates are given by

$$\begin{aligned} ct &= \left(\frac{c^2}{\alpha} + x'\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\alpha t'}{c}\right) \quad \text{and} \\ x &= \left(\frac{c^2}{\alpha} + x'\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\alpha t'}{c}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Hence one can also express the inverse relations

$$ct' = \frac{c^2}{2\alpha}\ln\left(\frac{x+ct}{x-ct}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad x' = (x^2 - (ct)^2)^{1/2} - \frac{c^2}{\alpha} \tag{20}$$

The Rindler space-time coordinates, given by eqns.(19) and (20) are then just an accelerated frame transformation of the Minkowski metric of special relativity. The Rindler coordinate transform the Minkowski line element

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= d(ct)^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \quad \text{to} \\ ds^2 &= \left(1 + \frac{\alpha x'}{c^2}\right)^2 d(ct')^2 - dx'^2 - dy'^2 - dz'^2 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The general form of metric tensor may then be written as

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\left(\left(1 + \frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)^2, -1, -1, -1\right) \tag{22}$$

Now following the concept of relativistic dynamics of special theory of relativity Landau and Lifshitz (1975), the action integral may be written as (see also Huang and Sun (2007))

$$S = -\alpha_0\int_a^b ds \equiv \int_a^b L dt \tag{23}$$

Then using eqns.(19)-(22) and putting $\alpha_0 = -m_0 c$, where m_0 is the rest mass of the particle, the Lagrangian of the particle is given by

$$L = -m_0 c^2\left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)^2 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right] \tag{24}$$

where $\vec{v}$ is the three velocity of the particle. The three momentum of the particle is then given by

$$\vec{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \vec{v}}, \quad \text{or}$$

$$\vec{p} = \frac{m_0\vec{v}}{\left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)^2 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right]^{1/2}} \tag{25}$$

Hence the Hamiltonian of the particle is given by

$$H = \vec{p}.\vec{v} - L \quad \text{or}$$

$$H = m_0 c^2\left(1 + \frac{\alpha x}{c^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{p^2}{m_0^2 c^2}\right)^{1/2} \tag{26}$$

which is eqn.(3) in the main text.

Acknowledgment: We are extremely grateful to the anonymous referee for constructive criticism and helping us in making the paper more beautiful.

References

M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegan ed. Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dovar Publications INC. (1970).

Birrell, N.D and Davies, P.C.W., Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1982).

Crispino Luis C.B., Higuchi A. and Matsas George E.A., arXiv:0710.5373 (gr-qc), (2007).

Fowler R.H. and Nordheim Dr. L., Proc. R. Soc. London 119, 173, (1928).

Ghosh Arpita and Chakrabarty Somenath, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 425, 1239, (2012) and references there in.

Hawking S., Nature, 248, 30, (1974).

Hawking S., Comm. Math. Phys. 43, 199, (1975).

Huang C.G. and Sun J.R., arXiv:gr-qc/0701078, (2007).

Kim Sang Pyo, arXiv:0709.4313(hep-th), (2007).

Landau L.D. and Lifshitz E.M., The Classical Theory of Fields, Butterworth-Heimenann, Oxford, (1975).

Schwinger J., Phys. Rev. 82, 664, (1951).

Socolovsky M., arXiv.1304.2833 (2013).

Torres del Castillo G.F. and Perez Sanchez C.L., Revista Mexican De Fisika 52, 70, (2006).

Unruh W.G., Phys. Rev. D14, 4, (1976).

Unruh W.G., Phys. Rev. D14, 870, (1976).

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.